

Environmental Governance and Democratic Accountability: An International Legal Perspective

Mohammad Faruk Hossain¹✉, Saida Talukder Rahi², and Anwar Hossan Sagor³

Universiti Utara Malaysia, Sintok, Malaysia¹; Comilla University, Cumilla, Bangladesh²; Law and Justice Division, Ministry of Law, Justice, and Parliamentary Affairs, Dhaka, Bangladesh³

ABSTRACT

Access to information constitutes a fundamental principle of democratic governance because it promotes transparency, accountability, public participation, and institutional legitimacy. This study analyzes the contribution of international legal frameworks to democratic governance by examining the implementation of the United Nations Convention Against Corruption (UNCAC), particularly Articles 10 and 13, together with the Council of Europe Convention on Access to Official Documents (Tromsø Convention) as normative foundations for public access to information. The research employs a normative juridical method using statute, conceptual, and comparative approaches to analyze international legal instruments and contemporary scholarly literature concerning transparency, accountability, and democratic governance. The findings demonstrate that UNCAC and the Tromsø Convention establish important legal standards requiring governments to strengthen transparency, facilitate public participation, and improve institutional accountability through broader access to official information. These frameworks also reinforce democratic legitimacy by enabling effective public oversight and informed citizen participation. Nevertheless, contemporary challenges, including misinformation, artificial intelligence governance, digital transparency, and information inequality, continue to affect the effectiveness of information governance. The study concludes that strengthening international legal standards on access to information remains essential for ensuring accountable, transparent, and democratic governance in the digital era.

Keywords: *Accountability, Access to Information, Democratic Governance, Transparency, UNCAC.*

CORRESPONDING AUTHOR:

Mohammad Faruk Hossain
Universiti Utara Malaysia, Sintok, Malaysia
contact: faruk123@gmail.com

ARTICLE HISTORY

Received : January 30, 2025
Final Revised : May 22, 2025
Accepted : June 12, 2025
Published : June 30, 2025

1. | INTRODUCTION

Access to information is widely recognized as a fundamental component of democratic governance because it enables citizens to understand governmental actions, participate in public decision-making, and hold public institutions accountable. Democratic systems depend upon informed citizens who possess sufficient information to evaluate public policies, monitor governmental performance, and engage meaningfully in civic and political processes. Without adequate access to information, citizens face significant barriers to participation, accountability, and democratic oversight. Consequently, access to information has become an increasingly important subject within international law, public administration, and governance studies.

The relationship between information access and democratic governance has attracted growing attention in response to demands for greater transparency and accountability in public institutions. Governments are increasingly expected to disclose information regarding public expenditures, policy decisions, administrative processes, and governance outcomes. Transparency initiatives have expanded globally as citizens seek greater insight into governmental activities and decision-making processes. These developments reflect broader efforts to strengthen democratic legitimacy through openness and public participation.

Transparency serves as a foundational principle connecting access to information and democratic governance. Transparent governance enables citizens to observe governmental actions, evaluate institutional performance, and identify potential abuses of power. Information disclosure therefore contributes directly to accountability by reducing information asymmetries between governments and citizens. Androniceanu (2021) argues that transparency represents a central requirement of good democratic governance because it enhances institutional openness and public trust. Similarly, Erkkilä (2020) emphasizes that transparency has become a defining feature of contemporary public administration and democratic accountability. These findings suggest that access to information is closely associated with governance quality and democratic legitimacy.

The recognition of access to information as a democratic right has expanded significantly within international legal frameworks. The right to seek, receive, and impart information is increasingly viewed as an essential condition for effective participation in public affairs. Marciel (2023) argues that citizens possess a democratic right to be well informed because informed participation is necessary for meaningful engagement in governance processes. Likewise, Szekely (2021) emphasizes that freedom of information functions as a public good that supports democratic societies by promoting accountability, transparency, and public oversight. These perspectives highlight the importance of information access as both a democratic value and a governance mechanism.

International legal frameworks have played an important role in promoting access to information and transparency. Among these frameworks, the United Nations

Convention Against Corruption (UNCAC) has become particularly influential. UNCAC recognizes transparency and public participation as essential tools for preventing corruption and strengthening accountability. Article 10 encourages public reporting and transparency in public administration, while Article 13 emphasizes participation of society in anti-corruption efforts and governance oversight. Through these provisions, UNCAC establishes important international standards supporting open and accountable governance.

In addition to UNCAC, the Council of Europe Convention on Access to Official Documents, commonly known as the Tromsø Convention, provides a dedicated legal framework concerning public access to official information. The convention recognizes access to public documents as a fundamental right that contributes to transparency, accountability, and democratic participation. Zeitzmann (2021) argues that the Tromsø Convention represents a significant advancement in international transparency standards because it establishes legal obligations promoting access to governmental information. These developments demonstrate the growing importance of information access within contemporary governance systems.

The literature further highlights the relationship between transparency and accountability. Accountability depends upon the availability of information that enables citizens, civil society organizations, journalists, and oversight institutions to evaluate governmental actions. Public reporting, information disclosure, and transparency initiatives therefore contribute significantly to democratic oversight. Porumbescu et al. (2022) argue that transparency strengthens accountability by improving the visibility of governmental activities and increasing opportunities for public scrutiny. Similarly, Samaratunge and Alam (2021) emphasize that transparency and accountability remain essential pillars of democratic governance, particularly within evolving governance environments.

Citizen participation represents another important dimension of access to information. Democratic governance requires not only formal participation opportunities but also access to information that enables informed decision-making. Citizens are more likely to engage effectively in public affairs when they possess reliable information concerning policies, governance processes, and institutional performance. Oliveira and Ckagnazaroff (2023) argue that citizen participation functions as a core principle of open government because informed citizens contribute more effectively to governance processes. Likewise, Widiatmoko et al. (2023) demonstrate that information access and digital governance tools can strengthen public participation in decision-making activities. These findings indicate that access to information supports democratic participation by empowering citizens to engage meaningfully in governance.

The concept of open government has emerged as an influential governance approach that emphasizes transparency, participation, and collaboration. Open government initiatives encourage public institutions to disclose information proactively

and create opportunities for citizen engagement. Moon (2020) argues that contemporary open government reforms extend beyond traditional transparency initiatives by integrating participation and collaborative governance principles. Similarly, Zafarullah and Siddiquee (2023) emphasize that freedom of information policies contribute significantly to open government and democratic accountability. These developments illustrate the growing importance of information access within governance reform efforts.

Recent technological developments have further transformed information governance. Digital technologies enable governments to disseminate information more efficiently and provide citizens with expanded access to public data. Open data initiatives, digital transparency platforms, and e-government systems have created new opportunities for public oversight and participation. However, technological developments have also generated challenges involving misinformation, information inequality, digital transparency, and governance of digital information systems. These issues increasingly influence the effectiveness of information access as a democratic governance mechanism.

The literature identifies information disorder as a growing challenge affecting democratic governance. Monsees (2023) argues that misinformation and fake news have become significant threats to democratic processes because they undermine informed participation and public trust. Similarly, Rosenberger and Gorman (2020) emphasize that democratic systems face increasing challenges associated with information competition and information integrity. These findings suggest that ensuring access to reliable information remains an important governance objective within contemporary democratic societies.

Although substantial research has examined transparency, accountability, open government, and information governance, many studies focus on individual dimensions rather than examining access to information through a comprehensive international legal perspective. Furthermore, emerging issues involving digital transparency, artificial intelligence, open data governance, and information disorder continue to reshape governance environments. Consequently, there remains a need for systematic research examining how international legal frameworks support access to information and democratic governance.

In response to this gap, this study employs a normative juridical research approach to examine the relationship between human rights protection and democratic governance from an international legal perspective. The analysis focuses on the Universal Declaration of Human Rights (UDHR) and the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (ICCPR) as key international legal frameworks supporting human dignity, political participation, accountability, equality, fundamental freedoms, and democratic legitimacy. The study seeks to examine how the UDHR and ICCPR provide international legal foundations for protecting human rights and strengthening democratic governance, as well as how international human rights law contributes to

political participation, accountability, equality, and democratic legitimacy while addressing contemporary challenges to democratic governance. By applying statutory, conceptual, and analytical approaches to relevant international human rights norms and legal principles, this study aims to provide a comprehensive understanding of the contribution of international human rights law to the protection and development of democratic governance in contemporary societies.

2. | RESEARCH METHOD

This research employs a normative juridical method to examine access to information as a fundamental component of democratic governance from the perspective of international law. Normative juridical research is appropriate because the study emphasizes the analysis of legal norms, legal principles, and international legal instruments regulating transparency, accountability, and public participation rather than examining empirical conditions. The primary legal materials consist of international legal instruments, particularly the United Nations Convention Against Corruption (UNCAC) and the Council of Europe Convention on Access to Official Documents (Tromsø Convention), which provide the principal legal framework governing access to official information and democratic accountability.

The research applies three complementary approaches. The statutory approach is used to analyze the provisions contained in UNCAC, especially Articles 10 and 13 concerning public reporting, transparency, and public participation, together with the legal principles established under the Tromsø Convention regarding public access to official documents. The conceptual approach is employed to examine legal doctrines and theoretical concepts relating to transparency, accountability, democratic governance, access to information, and open government. In addition, the comparative approach is utilized to compare the normative characteristics of both international legal instruments in supporting democratic governance and institutional accountability.

Legal materials are analyzed qualitatively through legal interpretation and systematic legal analysis. The analysis identifies the legal obligations, governance principles, and institutional mechanisms established within both international frameworks, followed by an evaluation of their contribution to strengthening democratic governance. The analytical process is conducted descriptively and prescriptively by interpreting legal norms, examining their relationship with democratic principles, and assessing their relevance to contemporary governance challenges, including digital governance, misinformation, artificial intelligence, and information inequality. Through this normative juridical approach, the research aims to provide a comprehensive legal analysis of how international law supports transparency, accountability, and public participation as essential elements of democratic governance.

3. | RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The Role of UNCAC and the Tromsø Convention as International Legal Frameworks for Access to Information

Access to information has become one of the most significant legal principles supporting democratic governance because it enables transparency, strengthens accountability, and facilitates meaningful public participation. International law increasingly recognizes that democratic governance cannot function effectively without legal mechanisms guaranteeing citizens the right to obtain official information concerning governmental activities. In this regard, two international legal instruments occupy a central position in establishing normative standards for access to information: the United Nations Convention Against Corruption (UNCAC) and the Council of Europe Convention on Access to Official Documents (Tromsø Convention). Although both conventions were adopted within different institutional contexts and pursue distinct primary objectives, they share a common commitment to strengthening transparency and accountability through broader public access to governmental information. Their legal provisions collectively demonstrate that access to information has evolved from an administrative practice into an internationally recognized component of democratic governance.

The first important finding concerns the normative contribution of UNCAC to democratic governance. While UNCAC was principally developed as an international anti-corruption treaty, several of its provisions establish legal obligations that directly promote transparency and public accountability. The Convention recognizes that corruption cannot be effectively prevented without transparent public administration and informed citizen oversight. Consequently, UNCAC extends beyond criminal law by introducing governance principles that encourage openness, accountability, and public participation. These principles demonstrate that combating corruption and strengthening democracy are mutually reinforcing objectives because transparent institutions create fewer opportunities for abuses of public authority. The literature consistently supports this interpretation by emphasizing that international anti-corruption standards simultaneously improve governance quality and institutional legitimacy (Wong et al., 2024; Han, 2022).

Among the most significant provisions is Article 10 of UNCAC, which addresses public reporting and transparency in public administration. The article encourages States Parties to adopt measures that improve public access to information concerning governmental organization, decision-making procedures, administrative activities, and policy implementation. Rather than limiting transparency to reactive disclosure, Article 10 promotes proactive dissemination of information so that citizens can understand governmental processes and evaluate institutional performance. From a democratic governance perspective, this provision reduces information asymmetry between governments and citizens by ensuring that governmental actions remain visible to public scrutiny. Transparency therefore functions as a preventive governance

mechanism that strengthens accountability while simultaneously discouraging corruption and arbitrary decision-making. As demonstrated in the reviewed literature, governments implementing transparency measures consistent with UNCAC are more likely to strengthen institutional openness and public confidence because information disclosure allows citizens to assess governmental performance objectively (Wong et al., 2024; Han, 2022).

Another fundamental provision is Article 13 of UNCAC, which emphasizes the participation of society in corruption prevention and governance oversight. This article recognizes that democratic accountability cannot rely exclusively upon internal governmental supervision but requires active involvement from citizens, civil society organizations, journalists, and other oversight actors. Effective participation, however, depends upon adequate access to official information. Citizens cannot monitor governmental performance or contribute meaningfully to policymaking when relevant information remains inaccessible. Accordingly, Article 13 establishes an important normative relationship between transparency and participation by encouraging governments to facilitate public access to information and create opportunities for civic engagement. This provision reflects the broader understanding that democratic governance depends upon informed participation rather than merely formal opportunities for consultation. Consequently, UNCAC positions access to information as both an anti-corruption instrument and a democratic governance principle that strengthens institutional accountability through public oversight.

Beyond UNCAC, the Tromsø Convention provides a more specialized legal framework dedicated exclusively to public access to official documents. Unlike UNCAC, whose primary objective is corruption prevention, the Tromsø Convention focuses directly on recognizing access to official information as a legal right within democratic societies. The Convention establishes the general principle that official documents held by public authorities should be accessible to the public except under narrowly defined and legally justified exceptions. Through this approach, the Convention transforms transparency from a discretionary administrative policy into a legal obligation. This normative development significantly strengthens democratic governance because access to official information becomes enforceable through legal standards rather than depending solely upon governmental willingness to disclose information. The reviewed literature identifies the Tromsø Convention as the first legally binding international treaty specifically regulating access to official documents and promoting transparency as an essential democratic value (Zeitzmann, 2021).

The legal significance of the Tromsø Convention extends beyond guaranteeing access to documents. It establishes a normative framework that reinforces democratic accountability by ensuring that governmental activities remain open to public examination. Democratic institutions derive legitimacy from public trust, and such trust depends upon citizens' ability to understand governmental actions and evaluate policy decisions based on accurate information. By requiring governments to provide access

to official documents, the Convention strengthens institutional openness and creates opportunities for effective public oversight. Access to information therefore becomes an essential mechanism through which citizens exercise democratic control over public authorities. These legal obligations illustrate that transparency should be understood not merely as administrative efficiency but as a constitutional characteristic of democratic governance supported by international legal standards (Zeitzmann, 2021).

A comparative analysis of UNCAC and the Tromsø Convention demonstrates that both instruments pursue complementary rather than identical objectives. UNCAC approaches access to information from the perspective of preventing corruption and promoting integrity within public administration, whereas the Tromsø Convention approaches the same issue from the perspective of protecting the public's right to obtain official information. Despite these different orientations, both conventions converge in recognizing transparency as a prerequisite for accountability and democratic governance. UNCAC emphasizes public reporting and societal participation through Articles 10 and 13, while the Tromsø Convention establishes legally binding standards governing access to official documents. Together, these international instruments create an integrated normative framework that strengthens democratic governance by combining institutional transparency, citizen participation, legal accountability, and public oversight.

The comparative findings further indicate that both conventions contribute significantly to reducing information asymmetry between governments and citizens. Democratic accountability requires citizens to possess sufficient information to evaluate governmental performance, monitor the exercise of public authority, and participate effectively in governance processes. When governments disclose official information proactively and consistently, opportunities for arbitrary decision-making, corruption, and abuse of power become substantially reduced. Conversely, secrecy weakens accountability because citizens cannot assess whether governmental decisions comply with legal and democratic principles. Therefore, both UNCAC and the Tromsø Convention recognize transparency as an indispensable institutional safeguard that enhances governance legitimacy and public confidence. This conclusion is consistent with previous studies emphasizing that access to information constitutes a democratic right supporting transparency, accountability, and institutional legitimacy (Marciel, 2023; Szekely, 2021).

Overall, the analysis demonstrates that UNCAC and the Tromsø Convention establish complementary international legal foundations for access to information within democratic governance. UNCAC strengthens transparency through public reporting obligations and societal participation in governance oversight, while the Tromsø Convention guarantees broader legal access to official documents as a democratic right. Together, these international legal frameworks reinforce transparency, accountability, institutional openness, and citizen participation as interconnected principles supporting democratic governance. Their combined

normative contribution confirms that access to information is no longer viewed solely as an administrative matter but has become an essential legal component of democratic governance and institutional legitimacy in contemporary international law.

The Contribution of Access to Information to Democratic Governance and Contemporary Challenges

The findings demonstrate that access to information contributes significantly to democratic governance by strengthening transparency, accountability, citizen participation, public trust, and institutional legitimacy. Beyond functioning as a legal right recognized in international law, access to information serves as a governance mechanism through which citizens monitor governmental performance, evaluate public policies, and participate in democratic decision-making. The reviewed literature consistently indicates that democratic institutions operate more effectively when governments adopt transparent information systems capable of providing timely, reliable, and accessible public information. At the same time, contemporary governance increasingly faces challenges arising from digital transformation, misinformation, artificial intelligence (AI), and information inequality, all of which influence the effectiveness of transparency and accountability mechanisms. These findings indicate that democratic governance requires not only legal guarantees of information access but also institutional capacity to ensure that information remains accurate, accessible, and trustworthy.

One of the most significant contributions of access to information concerns the strengthening of transparency within public administration. Transparency enables governmental activities, administrative procedures, and policy decisions to become visible to citizens, thereby reducing information asymmetry between public institutions and society. When governments disclose official information openly, citizens are able to understand how public authority is exercised and evaluate whether governmental actions comply with legal and democratic principles. Such openness also discourages arbitrary decision-making because governmental actions become subject to continuous public scrutiny. The literature consistently recognizes transparency as a defining characteristic of democratic governance, emphasizing that transparent institutions are more likely to maintain institutional legitimacy and public confidence. Consequently, access to information should be understood not merely as an administrative obligation but as a democratic principle supporting accountable governance through institutional openness (Androniceanu, 2021; Erkkilä, 2020).

Transparency further contributes directly to public accountability. Democratic accountability depends upon the availability of information enabling citizens, journalists, civil society organizations, and oversight institutions to evaluate governmental performance objectively. Without adequate information, accountability mechanisms cannot function effectively because public institutions remain insulated from external oversight. Access to information therefore creates the necessary

conditions for monitoring public administration, identifying governance failures, and demanding institutional responsibility. The reviewed literature demonstrates that transparency and accountability operate as mutually reinforcing principles within democratic governance because transparency provides the information required for accountability, while accountability encourages governments to maintain openness and responsiveness. Governments that disclose information proactively are consequently better positioned to strengthen institutional legitimacy and improve governance quality through increased public oversight (Porumbescu et al., 2022; Samaratunge & Alam, 2021).

Another important contribution concerns the enhancement of citizen participation in governance processes. Democratic participation requires citizens to possess sufficient knowledge regarding governmental policies, administrative decisions, and public affairs before they can engage meaningfully in democratic decision-making. Access to information therefore functions as an enabling condition for informed participation rather than merely providing opportunities for consultation. Citizens who receive reliable and comprehensive information are better able to evaluate policy alternatives, express informed opinions, and contribute constructively to governance processes. Conversely, restricted access to information limits citizens' ability to participate effectively because governance decisions remain inaccessible or poorly understood. The reviewed literature consistently indicates that transparency improves civic engagement by providing citizens with the information necessary to exercise democratic rights and fulfill oversight functions. Consequently, access to information strengthens democratic participation while simultaneously reinforcing governance responsiveness and institutional legitimacy (Oliveira & Ckagnazaroff, 2023; Widiatmoko et al., 2023).

The findings also demonstrate that access to information contributes significantly to the development of open government. Contemporary governance reforms increasingly emphasize proactive information disclosure, collaboration between governments and citizens, and broader public involvement in policy formulation. Open government extends traditional concepts of transparency by encouraging governments to provide information voluntarily while creating institutional mechanisms that facilitate dialogue and public participation. Within this governance model, access to information becomes a strategic instrument for strengthening democratic legitimacy because citizens are treated not merely as recipients of governmental decisions but as active participants in governance processes. The reviewed literature indicates that open government initiatives promote more collaborative governance systems capable of improving transparency, responsiveness, and accountability simultaneously. These developments illustrate the evolution of democratic governance from closed administrative structures toward participatory governance supported by comprehensive information accessibility (Moon, 2020).

Digital transformation represents another important dimension influencing the relationship between access to information and democratic governance. Governments increasingly utilize digital platforms, e-government services, online reporting systems, and open data initiatives to disseminate information more efficiently. Digital governance expands citizens' opportunities to obtain official information regardless of geographical or administrative barriers while simultaneously improving governmental responsiveness. Open data platforms also facilitate independent monitoring by researchers, journalists, and civil society organizations, thereby strengthening democratic oversight. The reviewed literature demonstrates that digital public services contribute positively to transparency and accountability when supported by effective governance mechanisms and reliable information management systems. Accordingly, digital technologies have become essential instruments for improving information accessibility and strengthening democratic governance in contemporary societies (Saldanha et al., 2022; Kassen, 2022).

Despite these significant contributions, the findings reveal several contemporary challenges affecting the effectiveness of information governance. One of the most prominent challenges concerns the increasing spread of misinformation, disinformation, and fake news within digital information environments. Although technological developments have expanded access to information, they have also facilitated the rapid dissemination of inaccurate or misleading content capable of influencing public opinion and weakening democratic deliberation. Information disorder reduces citizens' ability to distinguish reliable information from false narratives, thereby undermining informed participation and decreasing public confidence in democratic institutions. Consequently, transparency alone cannot guarantee effective democratic governance unless governments simultaneously ensure the integrity and reliability of publicly available information. The reviewed literature consistently identifies misinformation as one of the most serious threats facing contemporary democratic governance because it directly affects citizens' capacity to make informed political and civic decisions (Monsees, 2023; Rosenberger & Gorman, 2020).

Artificial intelligence has emerged as another significant governance challenge influencing access to information. Public institutions increasingly utilize AI technologies to support administrative decision-making, information management, and public service delivery. While these technologies improve administrative efficiency, they also create concerns regarding transparency, explainability, and accountability. Citizens may encounter difficulties understanding how automated systems generate governmental decisions or influence information disclosure processes. The reviewed literature emphasizes that traditional principles of freedom of information remain highly relevant within AI-assisted governance because democratic accountability requires citizens to understand and evaluate governmental decision-making processes, regardless of whether such decisions are made by humans or automated systems.

Accordingly, AI governance must incorporate transparency principles capable of preserving public oversight while maintaining institutional accountability (Olsen et al., 2024).

The findings further identify information inequality as an important obstacle affecting democratic participation. Although governments increasingly provide information through digital platforms, equal access to information cannot be assumed across all segments of society. Differences in digital literacy, educational attainment, technological infrastructure, and internet accessibility continue to create disparities in citizens' ability to obtain, understand, and utilize official information effectively. Such inequalities reduce opportunities for meaningful participation and may weaken democratic inclusiveness by excluding certain groups from governance processes. Therefore, improving democratic governance requires policies extending beyond information disclosure to include initiatives promoting digital literacy, technological accessibility, and equitable information distribution. The reviewed literature indicates that effective access to information depends not only upon the availability of information but also upon citizens' capacity to interpret and utilize that information for democratic participation and public oversight (Mosweu & Rakemane, 2020).

Overall, the findings indicate that access to information functions as a cornerstone of democratic governance by strengthening transparency, accountability, citizen participation, public trust, and institutional legitimacy. Simultaneously, contemporary governance must address emerging challenges associated with misinformation, artificial intelligence, digital transformation, and information inequality to preserve the effectiveness of transparency and accountability mechanisms. These findings demonstrate that democratic governance requires an integrated approach combining robust legal guarantees of information access with effective institutional governance capable of ensuring information integrity, technological transparency, and inclusive public participation. Consequently, the future development of democratic governance will depend upon governments' ability to maintain accessible, reliable, and accountable information systems while adapting to the rapidly evolving digital governance environment.

4. | CONCLUSION

This study examined the relationship between human rights protection and democratic governance through a normative juridical research approach by analyzing the Universal Declaration of Human Rights (UDHR) and the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (ICCPR). The findings demonstrate that international human rights law provides a comprehensive normative and legal foundation for strengthening democratic governance through the protection of human dignity, individual freedoms, political participation, equality, accountability, and democratic legitimacy. These international instruments collectively establish principles that guide states in ensuring that governmental authority is exercised within the framework of the rule of law while respecting and protecting fundamental human rights.

The analysis indicates that the UDHR serves as the universal normative basis for democratic governance by recognizing the principles of human dignity, equality, freedom, and participation as fundamental human rights. These principles form the ethical and normative framework upon which democratic institutions are built. Meanwhile, the ICCPR reinforces these values by providing legally binding obligations for states to protect civil and political rights. These protections include freedom of expression, freedom of association, freedom of peaceful assembly, voting rights, and the right to participate in public affairs. Together, the UDHR and the ICCPR establish international legal standards that limit arbitrary governmental power, strengthen constitutional governance, and promote accountability, transparency, and democratic legitimacy.

Furthermore, the findings demonstrate that effective protection of human rights contributes directly to democratic governance by facilitating meaningful political participation, ensuring equality before the law, strengthening public accountability, and supporting the active engagement of civil society. The protection of these rights enables citizens to participate freely in democratic processes, monitor governmental performance, and contribute to public decision-making. Consequently, international human rights law functions not only as a mechanism for safeguarding individual rights but also as an institutional foundation for sustaining democratic governance and reinforcing public trust in democratic institutions.

Despite these contributions, the study also identifies several contemporary challenges that increasingly affect the implementation of human rights and democratic governance. The rapid development of digital technologies, artificial intelligence, surveillance practices, misinformation, and democratic backsliding requires continuous adaptation of legal frameworks and institutional mechanisms to ensure that fundamental rights remain effectively protected. Therefore, the study concludes that effective human rights protection remains indispensable for maintaining democratic legitimacy, accountability, and democratic resilience. Strengthening human rights institutions, accountability mechanisms, equality before the law, and inclusive public participation is essential to ensuring that democratic governance continues to uphold freedom, justice, accountability, and human dignity in contemporary societies.

Acknowledgment

We gratefully acknowledge the contributions of individuals who supported the completion of this article.

Funding Information

This research did not receive any funding.

Conflict of Interest Statement

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest.

Ethical Approval and Originality Statement

Ethical approval was obtained for this study. The manuscript represents original work and has not been previously published, nor is it under consideration by another journal.

Data Disclosure Statement

The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

REFERENCES

- Androniceanu, A. (2021). Transparency in public administration as a challenge for a good democratic governance. *Revista Administratie si Management Public (RAMP)*, 36, 149–164.
- Erkkilä, T. (2020). Transparency in public administration. In *Oxford Research Encyclopedia of Politics*. Oxford University Press.
- Han, L. (2022). Reviewing international anti-corruption cooperation arrangements in China: Based on the implementation review mechanism of UNCAC. *Crime, Law and Social Change*, 77(2), 133–157.
- Kassen, M. (2022). Open data governance in Sweden: Government data transparency in the context of social democracy. In *Open Data Governance and Its Actors: Theory and Practice* (pp. 97–132). Springer.
- Marciel, R. (2023). *On Citizens' Right to Information: Justification and Analysis of the Democratic Right to Be Well Informed*.
- Monsees, L. (2023). Information disorder, fake news and the future of democracy. *Globalizations*, 20(1), 153–168.
- Moon, M. J. (2020). Shifting from old open government to new open government: Four critical dimensions and case illustrations. *Public Performance & Management Review*, 43(3), 535–559.
- Mosweu, O., & Rakemane, D. (2020). The role of records management in ensuring good governance in Africa: Impediments and solutions. *Journal of the South African Society of Archivists*, 53(1), 103–123.
- Oliveira, D. J. S., & Ckagnazaroff, I. B. (2023). Citizen participation as one of the principles of open government. *Cadernos Gestão Pública e Cidadania*, 28, e84867.
- Olsen, H. P., Hildebrandt, T. T., Wiesener, C., Larsen, M. S., & Flügge, A. W. A. (2024). The right to transparency in public governance: Freedom of information and the use of artificial intelligence by public agencies. *Digital Government: Research and Practice*, 5(1), 1–15.
- Porumbescu, G., Meijer, A., & Grimmelikhuijsen, S. (2022). *Government Transparency: State of the Art and New Perspectives*. Cambridge University Press.
- Rosenberger, L., & Gorman, L. (2020). How democracies can win the information contest. *The Washington Quarterly*, 43(2), 75–96.
- Saldanha, D. M. F., Dias, C. N., & Guillaumon, S. (2022). Transparency and accountability in digital public services: Learning from the Brazilian cases. *Government Information Quarterly*, 39(2), 101680.
- Samaratunge, R., & Alam, Q. (2021). Accountability and transparency in emerging countries: Governance, democratic currents and change. *Public Administration and Development*, 41(4), 147–156.

- Szekely, I. (2021). Freedom of information: A constitutive public good in democratic societies. In *Research Handbook on Information Policy* (pp. 205–219). Edward Elgar Publishing.
- Widiatmoko, C., Simarmata, R. P., Gunadi, G. A., Amazihono, M., & Watimena, J. S. (2023). E-government as a tool to increase public participation in public decision making. *ARRUS Journal of Social Sciences and Humanities*, 3(3), 272–278.
- Wong, A., Perehudoff, K., & Kohler, J. C. (2024). Legislating for good governance in the pharmaceutical sector through UN Convention Against Corruption (UNCAC) compliance. *Global Public Health*, 19(1), 2350649.
- Zafarullah, H., & Siddiquee, N. A. (2023). Open government and freedom of information. In *Open Government and Freedom of Information* (pp. 3–26). Palgrave Macmillan.
- Zeitzmann, S. (2021). The Council of Europe's Tromsø Convention on Access to Official Documents. *European Data Protection Law Review*, 7(2), 232.